



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*ST*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,994	07/02/2002	Frank Luyten		5817

21559 7590 07/06/2005

CLARK & ELBING LLP  
101 FEDERAL STREET  
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER

TON, THAIAN N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1632

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/089,994	LUYTEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thaian N. Ton	1632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 31-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 31-61 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

*M*

### DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' Amendment, filed 4/19/05 has been entered. Claims 44 and 46 are amended. Claims 52-61 are added. Claims 31-61 are pending. The prior restriction requirement is withdrawn, and upon consideration of Applicants' newly added claims, a new restriction requirement follows.

### *Election/Restrictions*

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 31-36 and 51, drawn to methods of positively identifying viable, expanded or passaged, committed, pluripotent skeletal precursor cells that have entered a post-natal differentiation pathway leading to skeletal or connective tissues.

Group II, claim(s) 37, drawn to methods for sorting or enriching precursor cells in cell culture *in vitro*.

Group III, claim(s) 38 and 52-55, drawn to a method for producing or repairing connective tissue in a mammal.

Group IV, claim(s) 39 and 56, drawn to a method of producing matrix.

Group V-IX, claim(s) 40 and 57 drawn to a method of treatment by supplying precursor cells, wherein the disease is subglottic stenosis, trachemalacia, chondromalacia patellae, osteoarthritis, or traumatic lesions.

**\*\*Note:** If Applicants elect any of Groups V-X, Applicants must elect one of the specific diseases, as set forth above.

Group X, claim(s) 41 and 58, drawn to a method for joint surface defect repair in a mammal.

Group XI, claim(s) 42 and 59, drawn to a method for enhancing the implantation of a prosthetic device in connective tissue.

Group XII, claim(s) 43-45, 60 and 61, drawn to a culture of isolated and expanded viable, differentiated, pluripotent precursor cells, an implant comprising the cells, therapeutic compositions comprising the cells.

Group XIII, claim(s) 47-50, drawn to a diagnostic for identifying *in vitro*, a positive marker of viable, committed pluripotent skeletal precursor cells.

Claim 46 links the inventions of Groups V-IX, as it is generically relates to treating a patient, suffering from any disease, comprising administration of a therapeutic composition. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim 46. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35

U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Unity of Invention between different categories of inventions will only be found to exist if specific combinations of inventions are present. Those combinations include:

- 1) A product and a special process of manufacture of said product
- 2) A product and a process of use of said product
- 3) A product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and a process of use of said product
- 4) A process and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process
- 5) A product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process.

The allowed combinations do not include multiple products, multiple methods of using said products, and methods of making multiple products as claimed in the instant invention.

37 CFR 1.475 (c) states that:

"If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combination of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present."

37 CFR 1.475 (d) states:

"If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c)."

37 CFR 1.475(e) states:

"The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternative within a single claim."

Groups I-XI and XIII are drawn to distinct methods, which have a separate and materially different protocol. Each of these methods requires a specific protocol, and none are required for the function of the other. Furthermore, the special technical feature of the invention, which is determined to be skeletal precursor cells which express bone morphogenic or cartilage derived morphogenic protein, is known in the art. For example, prior to the claimed invention, Nifuji *et al.* (**Jour. Bone & Mineral Res**, 14(12):1999, pages 2057-2066) discuss the expression of noggin and bone morphogenetic proteins during early skeletogenesis. Particularly, they teach the analysis of various BMPs in mouse embryos. See Abstract. Accordingly, as the special technical feature of this invention is known in the art, the claims lack unity of invention.

The special technical feature of Group I is considered to be methods of positively identifying viable, expanded or passaged, committed, pluripotent skeletal precursor cells that have entered a post-natal differentiation pathway leading to skeletal or connective tissues.

The special technical feature of Group II is considered to be methods for sorting or enriching precursor cells in cell culture *in vitro*.

The special technical feature of Group III is considered to be a method for producing or repairing connective tissue in a mammal.

The special technical feature of Group IV is considered to be a method of producing matrix.

The special technical feature of Group V is considered to be a method of treatment by supplying precursor cells for treatment of subglottic stenosis.

The special technical feature of Group VI is considered to be a method of treatment by supplying precursor cells for treatment of tracheomalacia.

The special technical feature of Group VII is considered to be a method of treatment by supplying precursor cells for treatment of chondromalacia patellae.

The special technical feature of Group VIII is considered to be a method of treatment by supplying precursor cells for treatment of osteoarthritis.

The special technical feature of Group IX is considered to be a method of treatment by supplying precursor cells for treatment of traumatic lesions.

The special technical feature of Group X is considered to be a method for joint surface defect repair in a mammal.

The special technical feature of Group XI is considered to be a method for enhancing the implantation of a prosthetic device in connective tissue.

The special technical feature of Group XII is considered to be a culture of isolated and expanded viable, differentiated, pluripotent precursor cells, therapeutic compositions comprising the cells, and an implant comprising the cells.

The special technical feature of Group XIII is considered to be a diagnostic for identifying *in vitro*, a positive marker of viable, committed pluripotent skeletal precursor cells.

Accordingly, Groups I-XIII are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement



between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Thaian N. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0736. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 to 5:00 (Eastern Standard Time), with alternating Fridays off. Should the Examiner be unavailable, inquiries should be directed to Ram Shukla, SPE of Art Unit 1632, at (571) 272-0735. Papers related to this application may be submitted

Art Unit: 1632

to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the Official Fax at (571) 273-8300. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*tnt*

Thaian N. Ton  
Patent Examiner  
Group 1632

*For Wouter*  
*AU1632*